

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/801,024	03/15/2004	Steven Peng	GUID-028CON2	1606		
36154 7.	590 06/30/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
LAW OFFICE OF ALAN W. CANNON 834 SOUTH WOLFE ROAD			LACYK,	LACYK, JOHN P		
SUNNYVALE, CA 94086			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3735			
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2000	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ϵ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/801,024	PENG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John P. Lacyk	3735	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO litute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this community ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	• •	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,48 and 50-56</u> is/are pending in the	ne application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,48 and 50-56</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	d/		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Liammer. Note the attache	id Office Action of form F 10-1	J Z.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		Annlingtion No	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		• •	**
application from the International Bure	•	i received in this National Stag	je
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ 	(08) 5) Notice of	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/19/04.	6) Other:	<u>_</u> .	

Art Unit: 3735

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2. Claims 48 and 54-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 48 and 56-57 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,506,149. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 3. Claims 50-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-4 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,899,670. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory

double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 5. Claim 56 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,730,020. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between claim 56 of the application and claims 1 and 32 of the patent lies in the fact that the patent claims include more elements and is thus more specific. Thus the invention of claims 1 and 32 are in effect a "species" of the "generic" invention of claim 56. It has been held that the generic invention is "anticipated" by the "species". Since claim 56 is anticipated by claims 1 and 32 of the patent, it is not patentably distinct from claims 1 and 32.
- 6. Claim 1 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,506,149. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are both directed to an organ manipulation apparatus having at least one suction member having a vacuum space; a support structure and a joint coupled between the suction member and the support structure such that the suction member has freedom to move relative to the support structure in response to normal movement of the organ.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,024 Page 4

Art Unit: 3735

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claim 56 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fox et al (5,984,864).

Fox et al discloses a locking arm that is used with an organ manipulator apparatus that has a cable and ball joints having convex surfaces and having concave socket surfaces to receive the convex surface of the adjacent ball joint (Figures 13-14).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Lacyk whose telephone number is 571-272-4728. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chuck Marmor, II can be reached on 571-272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,024 Page 5

Art Unit: 3735

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John P Lacyk Primary Examiner Art Unit 3735

J.P. Lacyk